

Impact of second-order ionospheric delays on troposphere **ZWD** estimation with GPS and BeiDou measurements

Shaocheng ZHANG¹, Lei FANG¹, Guangxing WANG¹, Xinzhe WANG²

1.China University of Geosciences, Wuhan
 2. Wuhan University

Email: gnss.zsc@foxmail.com

Contents



- Introduction
 - Ionosphere delays on GNSS signals
 - Characteristic of second-order ionosphere delays
 - Impact on PPP results
- Troposphere zenith wet delay (**ZWD**) Estimation
 - Coordinate-fixed PPP estimation
 - Block-wised least square for receiver clock & ISB errors
- Analysis results
 - Real observation results
 - Simulation demonstration
- Summary & Conclusions



T

 $I_{3,IF} \neq$

- High-order ionosphere delays on GNSS signals
 - Ionosphere delays on carrier phase (L) & code (P) measurements

$$Ion_{L,f} = -I_{1,f} - I_{2,f} - I_{3,f} \dots$$

$$Ion_{P,f} = I_{1,f} + 2I_{2,f} + I_{3,f}...$$

here

$$I_{1,f} = \frac{40.309 \cdot STEC}{f^2} \qquad I_{2,f} = \frac{1.1284 \times 10^{12} \cdot B \cdot \cos \theta \cdot STEC}{f^3}$$
$$I_{3,f} = \frac{812.42 \cdot \int N_e^2 dl + 1.5793 \cdot 10^{22} \cdot B^2 \cdot (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \cdot STEC}{f^4}$$

after ionosphere-free linear combination



- Characteristic of second-order ionosphere delays
 - Our research focus on high-order ionosphere residuals, and I_2 delays were taken for instance.

$$I_{2,f} = \frac{1.1284 \times 10^{12} \cdot B \cdot \cos \theta \cdot \text{STEC}}{f^3}$$

here

B is the geomagnetic field value;

 θ is the angle between geomagnetic field and GNSS signals;

STEC is the total electronic content on the slant signal path;

f is the signal frequency.

 I_2 delay on each GNSS signal was calculated with IGS final TEC map and IGRF-12th model (International Geomagnetic Reference Field).



- I_2 delays on GNSS ionosphere-free observations during days of high TEC level (DOY 79~85, 2016)
 - Three stations located in northern hemisphere, equator area and southern hemisphere



Oct. 29~Nov. 2, 2018



- I_2 delays on GNSS ionosphere-free observations during days of low TEC level (DOY 79~85, 2018)
 - Three stations located in northern hemisphere, equator area and southern hemisphere





A Line

Impact on kinematic PPP when TEC is high

- 21 IGS stations
 - **GPS-only** solutions
 - Jan 1st, 2014 (high TEC year)



(b)

Troposphere **ZWD** Estimation

- Coordinate-fixed PPP estimation
 - Stations with precise position information

$$\begin{split} L_{IF}^{G} &= \rho^{G} + t_{r} - t_{s}^{G} + T^{G} + N_{IF}^{G} + \varepsilon_{L}^{G} &- I_{2}^{G} \\ L_{IF}^{C} &= \rho^{C} + t_{r} - t_{s}^{C} + ISB^{G,C} + T^{C} + N_{IF}^{C} + \varepsilon_{L}^{C} &- I_{2}^{C} \\ P_{IF}^{G} &= \rho^{G} + t_{r} - t_{s}^{G} + T^{G} + \varepsilon_{P}^{G} &+ 2 \cdot I_{2}^{G} \\ P_{IF}^{C} &= \rho^{C} + t_{r} - t_{s}^{C} + ISB^{G,C} + T^{C} + \varepsilon_{P}^{C} &+ 2 \cdot I_{2}^{C} \\ \end{split}$$

 Troposphere delays on slant path were modeled with three components: the hydrostatic, wet and horizontal gradient [Davis et al, 1993]

$$T^{S} = m_{h} \cdot ZHD + m_{w} \cdot ZWD + m_{w} \cdot \cot(elev) \cdot (G_{N} \cdot \cos(azim) + G_{E} \cdot \sin(azim))$$



Troposphere **ZWD** Estimation

- Coordinate-fixed PPP estimation
 - The function could be summarized as least square model as following:

$$L = BX + \Delta L$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_{IF}^{G} - \rho^{G} + t_{S}^{G} - N_{IF}^{G} \\ L_{IF}^{C} - \rho^{C} + t_{S}^{C} - N_{IF}^{C} \\ P_{IF}^{G} - \rho^{G} + t_{S}^{G} \\ P_{IF}^{C} - \rho^{C} + t_{S}^{C} \end{bmatrix} \qquad X = [t_{r}, ISB^{G,C}, ZWD, G_{N}, G_{E}]^{T} \qquad \Delta L = \begin{bmatrix} -I_{2}^{G} \\ -I_{2}^{C} \\ 2 \cdot I_{2}^{G} \\ 2 \cdot I_{2}^{G} \\ 2 \cdot I_{2}^{C} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Least square solution for the un-known parameters *X* will be:

$$X = (B^T P B)^{-1} B^T P L \longrightarrow X = (B^T P B)^{-1} B^T P L - (B^T P B)^{-1} B^T P \Delta L$$

 $\Delta X = - (B^T P B)^{-1} B^T P \Delta L$

Impact of second-order ionospheric delays





the line

- Block-wise least square (Xu G. 2003)
 - Receiver clocks & ISB errors were considered as dynamic parameters
 - **ZWD**, G_N and G_E were estimated with previous 30min observation.

$$X = [t_{r}, ISB^{G,C} | ZWD, G_{N}, G_{E}]^{T}$$

$$X = [X_{1} \quad X_{2}]^{T}$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} B_{1} \\ B_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{1} \\ X_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{2} = B_{2}^{T}PB_{2} - B_{2}^{T}PB_{1}(B_{1}^{T}PB_{1})^{-1}B_{1}^{T}PB_{2}$$

$$R_{2} = (B_{2}^{T}P - B_{2}^{T}PB_{1}(B_{1}^{T}PB_{1})^{-1}B_{1}^{T}P)L$$

$$AR_{2} = (B_{2}^{T}P - B_{2}^{T}PB_{1}(B_{1}^{T}PB_{1})^{-1}B_{1}^{T}P)\Delta L$$
Sequential solution

$$X_{2} = \left(\sum_{t=30\min}^{t} M_{2,i}\right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{t=30\min}^{t} R_{2,i}$$

$$\Delta X_2 = \left(\sum_{t=30\min}^{t} M_{2,i}\right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{t=30\min}^{t} \Delta R_{2,i}$$



- Real observation results
 - **ZWD** difference with & without I_2 correction
 - Both GPS-only and GPS/BeiDou analysis.
 - Satellite number & dilution of precision on **ZWD** estimation.



Oct. 29~Nov. 2, 2018



NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.





- Real observation results
 10 IGS stations with real observation in Asia-Pacific area
 GPS only vs. GPS+BeiDou
 - ZWDs were calculated with previous 30min ⁰ observation
 - Normally used in real-time estimation
 - 30 seconds interval
 - Maximum ZWD difference comparison
 - Four equinox & solstice days on 3 years
 - The Spring & Autumnal equinox
 - The Summer & Winter solstice



160



in the since





ALL DE LINE

In the state



Oct. 29~Nov. 2, 2018



Oct. 29~Nov. 2, 2018



- Maximum **ZWD** difference statistic from 1:00-11:00 UTC
 - Left: GPS+BeiDou, Right: GPS only



Oct. 29~Nov. 2, 2018

Summary & Conclusions

- 一种倒推资大资 China University of Geosciences
- The second-order ionosphere (*I*₂) delays on GNSS signals are several millimeters, and can reach over 1 centimeter on high TEC signal paths. They lead to south direction bias on positioning applications
- Both real data and simulated 30min estimation results shown that the I_2 delays have remarkable impacts on **ZWD** estimation
 - Maximum value could reach up to 3 millimeters.
 - GPS+BeiDou can help decreasing the I_2 impacts compared to GPS only estimation. However, the improvement is relatively limited and not always positive.
- Considering 5~10 millimeters accuracy on **ZWD** estimation, the I_2 delays impact should not be ignored.
- On the next solar maximum year, the expected I_2 impacts on **ZWD** estimation may be more obvious.



Thank You !

Shaocheng Zhang (张绍成) China University of Geosciences, Wuhan Email: gnss.zsc@foxmail.com