IGS Workshop, Jul 3-7, 2017, Paris

# BeiDou and Galileo Carrier-Phase Time Transfer toward TAI Computation

# Baoqi Sun<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yulong Ge<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Mingjun Ouyang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Li Zhang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Xuhai Yang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Weijin Qin<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Meifang Wu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Haiyan Yang<sup>1,2</sup>

National Time Service Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences
 Key Laboratory of Precise Positioning and Timing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences
 School of Astronomy and Space Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences
 Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Braunschweig, Germany



- Software and strategy comparison
- Time transfer based on regional BDS
- Time transfer based on initial serviced Galileo
- Summary and outlook



#### • Evolution of GNSS based TAI time transfer

- 1981, GPS CV
  2009, GPS PPP
- > 2010, GLONASS CV

Time links used in TAI computation from Circular T Jan 2017

	# of links
GPS PPP	28
GPS P3(AV)	14
GPS MC(AV)	18
TWGPPP	10
TWSTFT	2
Total	72





#### BDS reginal constellation

- Start service since Dec. 2012
- Coverage Asian-Pacific area
  - Time transfer: BDS PPP vs GPS PPP, <0.2 ns
- How is the performance of PPP TAI time transfer based on reginal BDS?

# • GALILEO

► Initial Service since Dec 15, 2016

How is the performance of PPP TAI time transfer based on initial serviced GALILEO?



### Software and strategy comparison

- Time transfer based on regional BDS
- Time transfer based on initial serviced Galileo
- Summary and outlook

# Software and Strategy comparison

#### • **BIPM TAIPPP**

- Standard data analysis for TAI computation
- NRCan PPP software
- >Arc length: 35 days(40 days since May 2017)
- Orbit and clock products: IGR
- BDS/GALILEO TAI PPP experiment
  - NTSC modified Bernese GNSS Software V5.2
  - Arc length: 1 day
  - Orbit and clock products: GBM/COM



- 6 stations from IGS network, participated in TAI computation
- Jan 2015 May 2017 0.6 NTSC's Bernese GPS PPP(IGR) - BIPM TAIPPP BRUX IENG 0.5 NIST NTSC's Bernese GPS PPP(IGR) - BIPM TAIPPP on NIST OPMT PTBB 1 2015 ROAP 0.4 0.8 0.6 STD(ns) Clock differences (ns) 0.3 0.4 0.2 0.2 0 -0.2 -0.4 0.1 -0.6 -0.8 20 25 0 5 10 15 30 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 DOY

Months since 2015-JAN-01

IGS Workshop, Jul 3-7, 2017, Paris

# **GPS PPP with multi-GNS products**



IGS Workshop, Jul 3-7, 2017, Paris

20

2016

57600

57700

2017

57800

PTBB-BRUX

PTBB-IENG

PTBB-NIST

PTBB-OPMT

PTBB-ROAP

25

30



- Software and strategy comparison
- Time transfer based on regional BDS
- Time transfer based on initial serviced Galileo
- Summary and outlook



10 IGS MGEX stations
 GPS+BDS observations
 external clocks
 2 located in time laboratory
 Jan 2015 – Dec 2016



Station	Receiver	External clock
BRUX	SEPT POLARX4TR	CESIUM
DLF1	TRIMBLE NETR9	CESIUM
GMSD	TRIMBLE NETR9	CESIUM
NNOR	SEPT POLARX4	SLAVED CRYSTAL
REDU	SEPT POLARX4	CESIUM
ROAP	SEPT POLARX4TR	H-MASER
TLSG	SEPT POLARX4TR	DORIS
VILL	SEPT POLARX4	Cesium
WARK	TRIMBLE NETR9	H-MASER
WTZR	LEICA GR25	H- MASER



 BDS visibility and data quality of BRUX on DOY 33/2017





#### • 4 solutions according to different strategies

- Estimated parameters
- Multi-GNSS products
  - GBM: GEO included, 30s clock; COM: no GEO, 300s clock

#### • GPS PPP with GBM products as reference

	GBM products	COM products	Coordinates fixed	ZTD fixed
solution1	$\checkmark$			
Solution2	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
Solution3	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
solution4		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

# BDS PPP with different parameterizations

- Since May 2015, PPP results with BDS only has been on the same level with the other two strategies, all < 1.0 ns</li>
- Due to the accuracy improvement of the GBM products?





With GBM products (GEO included, 30s clock), < 0.5ns

With COM products (GEO excluded, 300s clock), < 0.8ns





- Software and strategy comparison
- Time transfer based on regional BDS
- Time transfer based on initial serviced Galileo
  Summary and outlook





IGS Workshop, Jul 3-7, 2017, Paris

External clock

**CESIUM** 

CESIUM

**CFSIUM** 

SLAVED

**CRYSTAL** 

H-MASER

DORIS

H-MASER

H-MASER



#### GALILEO visibility and data quality of BRUX on DOY 33/2017





- 7 solutions according to different strategies
  - Estimated parameters; selected observations
  - Multi-GNSS products: GBM, 30s clock; COM, 300s clock

	GBM	СОМ	30s clock	300s clock	E1/E5a	E1/E5b	CRD fixed	TRP fixed
Solution1	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$			
Solution2	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$					
Solution3	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$					
solution4	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$					
solution5	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			
solution6	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		
solution7		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			







- Daily GPS PPP time transfer based on Bernese was tested using data from 6 IGS/time laboratory stations over 2.5 years
- For most stations/time links, the differences compared to BIPM monthly TAIPPP solutions are around 0.1ns, so are daily GPS PPP with GBM and COM products
- The differences of daily BDS PPP and GPS PPP time transfer are less than 0.6ns with GBM products(GEO included, 30s clock), and less than 0.8ns with COM products(GEO excluded, 300s clock)
- For GALILEO in initial service, the best solutions of daily PPP could be less than 0.4ns for the tested links
- A campaign for multi-GNSS TAI time transfer?



# Thanks for your attention ! sunbaoqi@ntsc.ac.cn