# Multi-GNSS differential code biases (DCBs) estimation within MGEX

Ningbo Wang<sup>1</sup>, Yunbin Yuan<sup>1</sup>, Zishen Li<sup>2</sup>, Oliver Montenbruck<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute Institute of Geodesy and Geophysics (IGG), CAS <sup>2</sup> Academy of Opto-Electronics (AOE), CAS <sup>3</sup> German Aerospace Center (DLR)

IGS Workshop 2016, Sydney, Australia



# Motivation

• Differential Code Biases (DCBs) are defined as

the biases between two code observations at the same/different frequencies

• GNSS DCBs are needed for

code based positioning, ionospheric TEC extraction, etc.

- Most current DCB products limit to legacy GPS and GLONASS signals
   IGS Ionospheric Analysis Centers (IIACs, including CODE, UPC, ESA, JPL)
- Multi-GNSS DCB products are needed with the new emerging constellations (BDS and Galileo) and new signals
- Multi-GNSS Experiment (MGEX) network offers an independent basis for Multi-GNSS DCB determination
- German Aerospace Center (DLR) proposes a simplified method for DCB estimation using Global Ionosphere Maps (GIMs)
- Considering the multiplicity of MGEX DCB products, IGGDCB method is extended for Multi-GNSS DCB estimation

# Tracking Network

MGEX network shows a global coverage (~140 stations), supporting to track GPS, GLONASS, BDS, Galileo and QZSS signals



#### **IGS DCB Products**

- GPS + GLONASS
- Code observations: C1, P1, P2 (P1-C1 and P1-P2)
- Global ionospheric TEC modeling + DCB estimation
- Zero-constellation-mean constraint for satellite and receiver DCB separation
- DCB products: CODE (monthly interval, Bernese format)<sup>1</sup>

IIACs (daily interval, IONEX format)<sup>2</sup>

### **MGEX DCB Products**

- GPS + GLONASS + BDS + Galileo
- All tracked signals (no QZSS)
- Processing scheme: DLR (DCB determination using GIMs)
   ICC (CAS (station based is nambaris modeling + DC)

IGG/CAS (station-based ionospheric modeling + DCB estimation)

- Zero-constellation-mean constraint for satellite and receiver DCB separation
- DCB products in Bias SINEX DCB Format (Version 0.01)

<sup>1.</sup> Schaer S (2012) Overview of GNSS biases. IGS Workshop on GNSS Biases 2012, University of Bern, Switzerland

<sup>2.</sup> Hernández-PajaresM, Juan JM, Sanz J, Orus R, Garcia-Rigo A, Feltens J, Komjathy A, Schaer S, Krankowski A (2009) *The IGS VTEC maps:* a reliable source of ionospheric information since 1998. J Geod 83(3–4):263–275

# DCB processing scheme (2/3)

#### **DLR – Multi-GNSS DCB determination using GIMs**

- DCB from ionosphere-corrected pseudorange difference
- Take advantage of "known" ionosphere based on global ionosphere maps IGS GIM product

Ionospheric single-layer assumption

Zero-constellation-mean constraint



Montenbruck O, Hauschild A, Steigenberger P (2014) *Differential Code Bias Estimation using Multi-GNSS Observations and Global Ionosphere Maps*. Navigation 61(3):191–201

# DCB processing scheme (3/3)

#### IGG/CAS – Multi-GNSS DCB determination with IGGDCB method

- Intra-frequency biases are directly determined from GNSS observations
- Local ionospheric model for the combined estimation of ionosphere and DCBs Generalized triangular series (GTS) function Ionospheric single-layer assumption

(a) measured (b) modeled with local GTS function (c) estimated  

$$P_{S_1} - P_{S_2} \approx 40.28 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{f_{S_1}^2} - \frac{1}{f_{S_2}^2}\right) \cdot sTEC + DCB_{S_1 - S_2}^{sat + rec}$$

• GTS function for local ionospheric modeling

$$\begin{cases} STEC(z,\varphi,h) = VTEC(\varphi,h) \cdot mf \\ VTEC(\varphi,h) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\max}} \sum_{m=0}^{m_{\max}} \left\{ E_{nm} \left(\varphi - \varphi_0\right)^n \cdot h^m \right\} + \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}} \left\{ C_k \cos(k \cdot h) + S_k \sin(k \cdot h) \right\} \end{cases}$$

Wang, N, Yuan, Y, Li, Z, Montenbruck O, Tan B (2015) *Determination of differential code biases with multi-GNSS observations*. J Geod http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00190-015-0867-4

## **MGEX DCB products overview**

- Support all GPS, GLO, GAL and BDS signals (no QZSS)
- File names (long name since 10/2015)

IGG - CASOMGXRAP\_yyyyddd0000\_01D\_01D\_DCB.BSX.gz DLR - DLROMGXFIN\_yyyy0010000\_nnu\_07D\_DCB.BSX.gz DLROMGXFIN\_yyyy0010000\_nnu\_01D\_DCB.BSX.gz

• Product archives

CDDIS - ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/gps/products/mgex/dcb IGN - ftp://igs.ign.fr/pub/igs/products/mgex/dcb

• DCB products available from 01/2013

IGG – updated daily (daily interval)

DLR – updated quarterly (both weekly and daily intervals)

See more:

"New Multi-GNSS Differential Code Bias (DCB) Product" [IGSMAIL -7173]

# MGEX DCB products (2/7)

#### **GPS and GLONASS satellite DCB results**



Bias and STD of the MGEX-based C1WC2W and C1PC2P DCB estimates relative to CODE for the period 2013-2014

RMS between IGG/DLR and CODE solutions
 (a) IGG/CAS
 GPS → C1WC2W: 0.29 ns
 GLONASS → C1PC2P: 0.56 ns

(b) DLR GPS  $\rightarrow$  C1WC2W: 0.24 ns GLO  $\rightarrow$  C1PC2P: 0.84 ns

- Impact of different networks (IGS vs. MGEX) and receiver types on GNSS DCB solutions
- Notable dependence on frequency channel number for both IGG and DLR GLONASS DCB solutions

#### **Performance of GPS broadcast ISCs**

- Inter-Signal Corrections (ISC) for L1C/A and new signals w.r.t L1P(Y) included in GPS Civil Navigation message (CNAV) in addition to Timing Group Delay (TGD) parameter
  - ISC L1C/A, ISC L2C, ISC L5I5 and ISC
- L5Q5
   RMS of broadcast ISC<sub>C/A</sub> and IGG/DLR DCBs is about 0.2 ns, and that of TGD, ISC<sub>L2C</sub> and ISC<sub>L5Q</sub> is about 0.5 ns



#### Comparison of TGD and ISC parameters from CNAV, CODE, DLR and IGG (04/2014-04/2015)

Steigenberger P, Montenbruck O, Hessel U (2015) Performance Evaluation of the Early CNAV Navigation Message. Navigation, 62(3): 219-228.

#### **BDS satellite DCB results**

Time series of BDS satellite C2I-C7I and C2I-C6I DCBs during the period 2013-2015



RMS of the differences between BDS DCB solutions of IGG and DLR is limited to 0.4 ns, while that of broadcast TGD is about 1.4 ns

#### **BDS receiver DCB results**

BDS receiver DCBs exhibit an dependence on receiver types

Trimble NETR9 receivers: 18.0~24.0 ns Septentrio receivers: 13.0~19.0 ns



Time series of BeiDou C2I-C7I DCBs for the selected receivers during the period 2013–2015

#### **Galileo satellite DCB results**

Time series of Galileo C1X-C5X, C1X-C7X and C1X-C8X DCB solutions during the period 2013-2014



RMS of the differences between Galileo DCB solutions of IGG and DLR performs at the level of 0.23 ns

#### **Performance of Galileo BGDs**

- Galileo broadcast clock offsets refer to the ionosphere-free linear combination of E5a and E1
- Broadcast Group Delays (BGD, BGD<sub>E5aE1</sub> and BGD<sub>E5bE1</sub>) contained in navigation message
- RMS of the differences between broadcast BGDs and DLR DCBs is 0.55 ns
- Improved broadcast BGD quality since 05/2015



- Alternative DCB estimation algorithm IGGDCB
   station-based ionospheric modeling instead of using global ionosphere maps
- Allows Multi-GNSS DCB process and analysis within MGEX network
- Routine CAS/IGG MGEX DCB products contribute to IGS MGEX project
- Good agreement of IGG DCB products with CODE/DLR DCBs (rms of differences)
  - Limits to 0.1ns, 0.2ns and 0.4ns for GPS C1C-C1P, GLONASS C1C-C1P and C2C-C2P (w.r.t CODE)
  - Performs at the level of 0.29ns and 0.56ns for GPS C1W-C2W and GLONASS C1P-C2P (w.r.t CODE)
  - 0.33ns and 0.39ns for BDS C2I-C7I and C2I-C6I DCBs, respectively (w.r.t DLR)
  - Overall agreement limits to 0.24ns for Galileo DCBs (w.r.t DLR)
- IGS and MGEX DCB products for GPS and GLONASS

Impact of different networks and receiver types: further assessment required

# Thanks for your attention.

Many thanks to Dr. Oliver Montenbruck, Bruno Garayt and Carey Noll for coordinating and helping with the delivery of IGG/CAS MGEX DCB products to IGN and CDDIS ftp archive.

Contact e-mail: wnbigg@asch.whigg.ac.cn