

Terrestrial Reference Frame from Combined Precise Orbit Determination of GPS, GRACE, and LAGEOS

Jan Weiss, Willy Bertiger, Shailen Desai, Bruce Haines, Aurore Sibois

June 24, 2014 IGS Workshop Pasadena, CA

JPL unlimited release clearance CL#14-2695

Copyright 2014 California Institute of Technology. United States Government sponsorship acknowledged.

Outline



- Introduction
- Orbit determination strategy
- Antenna calibrations
- Evaluate three solutions
 - GPS
 - GPS + GRACE
 - GPS + GRACE + LAGEOS
 - Combining GPS and SLR at measurement level
- Summary and conclusions



- Goal is to realize accurate and stable terrestrial reference frame (TRF) from GPS and low Earth orbiters (LEO)
- Approach
 - Perform precise orbit determination (POD) of GPS constellation
 - Include GRACE-A
 - Then add SLR tracking to LAGEOS-1/2, combining techniques at measurement level
- Processing utilizes multi-day arcs, GRACE-based transmit antenna calibrations, very different estimation strategy vs. JPL's operational POD and IGS contribution
- Careful to generate solutions independent of ITRF
 - Can validate TRF realizations relative ITRF/IGb08



- Desire homogeneous stations for TRF realization
 - Limit to sites with choke-ring antennas
 - TurboRogue-inspired design is common in global geodetic network
- Check daily data quality metrics (e.g. phase breaks, postfit residual statistics) and remove poor quality sites
- Select approximately 40 stations
 - Half in each hemisphere
 - Improves Z-origin



POD Strategy



	JPL Ops/IGS	Long-Arcs TRF
Orbit Arc	30 hours (centered at noon)	3 days (capitalize on dynamics)
Number of GPS Stations	80	~40
Elevation Angle Cutoff	7 deg	10 deg
Albedo Model	Applied	Applied
Transmitter Antenna Calibration Model	IGS standard APV maps	Topex/GRACE- based APV maps
Receiver Antenna Calibration Model	IGS standard APV map	JPL Antenna Test Range (Young and Dunn, 1992)
Pole Position	X, Y offset and rate per arc	X, Y offset as random walk (daily update)
UT1-UTC	Rate per arc	Not estimated
1 and 2 CPR Empirical Accelerations	Not estimated	UVW coordinates as random walk

LEO-Based GPS Transmitter Antenna Calibrations





	1.		
			-
		Ť	

- Estimate calibrations in tandem with TOPEX/GRACE orbit determination
- LEOs above troposphere, low multipath
- GRACE anechoic chamber calibration is reference
- No constraint to ITRF as POD is fiducial-free
- Scale from satellite dynamics
- Calibrations derived for Block II/IIA/IIR

See poster by Haines et al., "The Terrestrial Reference Frame from GPS: New Perspectives from Low-Earth Orbit" for additional antenna calibrations.





Test Range Choke-Ring Antenna Calibration





- JPL test range calibration (Young and Dunn, 1992)
- Comparison to IGS robot calibration for AOAD/M_T shows:
 - 2-mm agreement in estimated LC phase center offset
 - 4-mm RMS difference in LC antenna phase variation (APV)
 - Similar APV patterns, but factor of 2 difference in amplitude
- Test range APV more coherent with GRACE-based GPS APV



 LEO in polar orbit provides coverage over oceans and both hemispheres



TRF from GPS: X-Origin



TRF from GPS: Y-Origin GPS **GPS+GRACE-A** Y Translation 40 Translation (mm) **Bias:** -1.1 mm 20 0.1 mm/yr Trend: Annual: 4.3 mm -20 **Postfit:** 7.1 mm RMS -40 2012 2004 2008 Time (years) Y Translation 40 Translation (mm) **Bias:** -0.2 mm 20 0.2 mm/yr Trend: () Annual: 3.4 mm -20 **Postfit:** 5.4 mm RMS -40 2004 2008 2012 Time (years)

TRF from GPS: Z-Origin GPS **GPS+GRACE-A** Z Translation 40 Translation (mm) **Bias:** -2.2 mm 20 -0.3 mm/yr Trend: 5.2 mm Annual: -20 **Postfit:** 12.4 mm RMS -40 2008 2012 2004 Time (years) Z Translation 40 Translation (mm) **Bias:** 1.1 mm 20 -0.4 mm/yr Trend: 0 2.2 mm Annual: -20 **Postfit:** 5.5 mm RMS -40 2004 2008 2012 Time (years)



• LEO reduces TZ signal at GPS draconitic (~354 days)



TRF from GPS: Scale GPS **GPS+GRACE-A** Scale 6 **Bias:** 3.1 ppb Scale (ppb) 0.01 ppb/yr Trend: 0.1 ppb Annual: 2 Postfit: 0.2 ppb RMS 0 2012 2004 2008 Time (years) Scale 6 3.1 ppb **Bias:** Scale (ppb) 0.01 ppb/yr Trend: Annual: 0.1 ppb 2 0.2 ppb RMS Postfit: 0 2004 2008 2012 Time (years)

Adding LAGEOS



- Tried using SLR to GRACE-A and fixing space tie
 - Few measurements, orbit more kinematic
- Use LAGEOS-1 and -2 instead
 - Simple satellite, straightforward dynamics
 - Circular orbit at ~5700 km altitude
 - Priority mission for SLR tracking



[[]NASA/ILRS]

- Constrain surveyed tie vectors at GPS-SLR collocations
- Challenges
 - Getting used to SLR metadata and new data format (CRD)
 - Very few SLR measurements
 - Typical 3 day run with 40 GPS and 4-8 SLR stations contains 700,000 GPS LC/PC measurements and 200-1000 SLR normal points

Combined GPS + SLR Solutions (1/2)



- Include data from up to 11 SLR stations in 3-day GPS + GRACE-A solutions
 - All station positions estimated (1 km apriori sigma)
 - Constrain tie vectors between GPS and SLR monuments to relate the two techniques
- Reasonable postfit residuals, ignoring SLR station biases for now





 Adding SLR tracking yields favorable impact on scale bias, but scatter increases



Measurement

sigma

Scale bias

2013-2014

1 cm (LC)

3.26 ppb

	010	OLK
Measurement sigma	1 cm (LC)	0.75 cm
Scale bias 2013-2014	3.26 ppb	2.43 ppb

0.5 cm

2.07 ppb



- Terrestrial reference frame realized from GPS
 - GPS only
 - 3D origin offset < 7 mm, rate < 1 mm/yr
 - Scale bias 3.1 ppb, rate 0.01 ppb/yr
 - GPS + GRACE-A
 - 3D origin offset < 4 mm, rate < 1 mm/yr
 - Scale bias 3.1 ppb, rate 0.01 ppb/yr
- Combined GPS and SLR tracking to LAGEOS-1/2
 - Apply GPS-SLR collocation tie vector constraints
 - SLR reduces scale bias, but increases scatter
 - Working to include additional tracking stations, Etalon-1 and -2 satellites (~20,000 km altitude)