IGS 2004 Workshop, 01 March 2004, Berne, Switzerland

# **IGS Reference Frames: Status & Future Improvements**

Jim Ray, Bureau International des Poids et Mesures & National Geodetic Survey

**Danan Dong, Jet Propulsion Laboratory** 

Zuheir Altamimi, Institut Géographique National

- Hierarachy of IGS Reference Frames
- Handling of Non-Linear Variations
- Improvements in Analysis Center Procedures
- IGS Combination Procedures
- Improvements in ITRF
- Improvements in IGS Reference Frames
- Summary of Recommendations

# **Hierarachy of IGS Reference Frames**



## **User access level – IGS "instantaneous" frames**

- precise point position (PPP) with fixed IGS orbits & clocks
- does not depend directly on any fiducial stations
- can be applied anywhere/anytime
- gives access to IGS00/ITRF at cm level for 1 d of data





## **Secondary precision layer – IGS00 long-term frame**

- aligned to ITRF2000 datum for 99 high-quality stations
- used for all IGS products instead of ITRF directly
- internal consistency much better than ITRF
- permits highest self-consistency for IGS products

## **Foundation accuracy layer – ITRF2000 long-term frame**

- "absolute" datum: origin, scale, orientation & their rates
- combination of SLR, VLBI, GPS & DORIS global solutions
- $\sim$ 800 points at  $\sim$ 500 sites



# **Reference Frame Errors**

	Datum attributes (may be optimistic)			<b>Relative station coordinates</b>		
Short-term positioning						
daily (M. Heflin)				N,E	4	- 5 mm
				V	1	0 mm
weekly (R. Ferland)			N,E		2 mm	
				V	6	- 7 mm
IGS00 internal	origin	0.15 mm	0.15 mm/yr	N,E	0.3 mm	0.5 mm/yr
long-term	scale	0.74 mm	0.36 mm/yr	V	0.5 mm	0.8 mm/yr
precision	orientation	0.13 mm	0.12 mm/yr			
(99 RF sites)						
ITRF2000	origin (geocenter)	)		3D	2 - 5 mm	0.5 - 2 mm/yr
long-term	equatorial	0.5 mm	0.1 mm/yr			
accuracy	axial	0.9 mm	0.3 mm/yr			
	scale	1.2 mm	0.2 mm/yr			
	orientation	0.6 mm	2.0 mm/yr			

# **Conventional Linear Framework**

## • IGS00 & ITRF are globally stationary with linear internal evolution:

• framework of points rigidly fixed to hypothetical solid Earth surface • points move only due to linear tectonic motions & known periodic tides • ITRF origin fixed at Earth's center of mass (CoM), including all fluids • origin realized by average of 5 long-term SLR solutions • no-net-global frame motions w.r.t. Earth's crust & CoM

• **IERS** Conventions 2003 specify this concept (with some inconsistencies)



- **IGS** Combined Geocenter
- Does not recognize "geocenter motions"
  - centers of "instantaneous" satellite frames (weekly/monthly) appear to move w.r.t. CoM
  - net motion (few-mm level) presumably due to large-scale motions of Earth's fluid masses
  - however, technique noise is significant & agreement between techniques is poor
  - despite this, real geocenter motions probably significant at semidiurnal/diurnal/seasonal periods
  - geocenter motions should be associated with large-scale surface deformations due to loading



# **Handling Geocenter Motions**

• For geocenter motions, current ICRF  $\iff$  ITRF transformation can be elaborated:  $ICRF = P \times N \times R \times W \times [TRF(t) + O(t)]$ 

• [TRF + O] is aligned to ITRF: vector O(t) from instantaneous TRF(t) center to ITRF origin • makes clear EOPs are expressed w.r.t. ITRF origin, not center of instantaneous TRF

 Can realize O(t) translations from Helmert transform between instantaneous TRF & ITRF • must simultaneously solve for rotation shifts & adjust EOPs consistently • requires uniform coverage of Earth surface for robust results • need fullest overlap of TRF & ITRF/IGS00 networks to minimize local/regional effects • also, ACs must handle station-related displacements similarly



- Alternatively, could substitute degree 1 loading deformation terms in Helmert transform
  - would capture both geocenter motion & largest deformations
  - but to avoid aliasing requires degree & order 6 loading terms
  - thus dense network is also needed
- Recommend IGS approach using standard **Helmert transform for IERS**



## **Conventional Station Displacements**

• IERS Conventions model for instantaneous station position is:

$$X(t) = X_o + V_o \times (t - t_o) + \sum_i \Delta X_i(t)$$

• summation to include "high-frequency variations" given by "conventional models" • models given for solid Earth tides, ocean (tidal) loading, & pole tide • no models for atmospheric loading or geocenter motion • can account for tidal geocenter motion via ocean loading (not recommended by IERS)

## • IERS Conventions not fully consistent or complete

• ACs should handle model contributions the same way • otherwise, combined products will be uninterpretable

## • Proposed interim "interpretation" for conventional displacements

• most non-tidal geophysical effects should be left in geodetic parameters

- include only those a priori models with accurate, closed-form expressions & with tidal periods (also add "permanent" solid Earth & pole tides)
- IERS models OK for solid Earth tides, ocean (tidal) loading, & pole tide
- still need models for diurnal/semidiurnal tidal atmospheric loading & geocenter motions due to oceans





# **Analysis Improvements – Subdaily Variations**

## • Aliasing problems

- diurnal geophysical variations commensurate with GPS orbital period
- unmodelled effects will alias partly into GPS orbits
- diurnal/semidiurnal station errors alias into annual/semiannual signals (10 20%)

## • Subdaily tidal EOPs

- ACs should implement new IERS 2003 model changes at few-mm level
- $\circ$  8 terms  $\longrightarrow$  71 terms
- $\circ$  peak differences: ~100  $\mu as \& \sim 12 \ \mu s$ ; RMS: ~30  $\mu as \& \sim 4 \ \mu s$
- model still needs improvement for S1 atmosphere effect

## • High-frequency nutation in polar motion

- $\circ$  IAU redefinition of nutation  $\Rightarrow$  all effects with periods <2 d now polar motion
- old prograde semidiurnal nutations (torques on triaxial Earth) now prograde diurnal PM
- $\circ$  IERS 2003 gives 10 terms with amplitudes up to  $\sim$ 15  $\mu as$
- but no subroutine provided; should be included with subdaily EOPs

## • Solid Earth tides

 $\circ$  ACs should implement new IERS 2003 model – changes up to  $\sim$ 2 mm vertical • subroutine available from Royal Observatory of Belgium (V. Dehant)



# **Subdaily Variations (cont'd)**

## Subdaily geocenter motions

- IERS 2003 recommendations inconsistent; no model provided
- $\circ$  largest terms  $\sim$ 5 mm in Z, 2 to 3 mm in X,Y
- ACs should implement using ocean tidal loading model
- model still needed to transform orbits to sp3 terrestrial frame
- Subdaily atmospheric pressure loading
  - IERS 2003 recommendations incomplete; no model provided • Special Bureau for Loading (van Dam et al., 2003) suggests:

 $P(\phi, t) = P_{\max} \cos^3(\phi) \sin(t + 12^o)$ 

- $\circ P_{\max}$  = maximum loading amplitude at the equator  $\circ$  estimates for  $P_{\text{max}}$  are ~0.8 mm for S1 & ~1.5 mm for S2
- Note on non-tidal atmosphere pressure loading
  - including in GPS data analysis would be very cumbersome • far easier to handle in post-processing analysis • need to establish magnitude of errors if effect neglected
  - in any case, it is essential that all ACs handle effects alike



# **Analysis Improvements – Other Effects**

## • Pole tide

- past IERS Conventions were unclear about mean reference pole
- **IERS 2003 provides two options tabular file or linear fit**
- tabulated mean pole file ended in 2000
- ACs should implement linear trend for mean pole position

## Nutation model errors

- satellite tracking highly insensitive to celestial pole offsets
- however, nutation-rate errors can alias into GPS polar motion results
- $\circ$  IAU1980 error at 13.66 d causes PM-rate error of  $\sim$ 70  $\mu as/d$
- $\circ$  equivalent to fortnightly PM error of  $\sim$ 150  $\mu as$
- ACs should not rely on IAU1980 without applying daily nutation corrections from IERS

## • Neglected ionospheric corrections

 $\circ$  neglect of 2nd order effect ( $\propto B/f^3$ ) causes few-mm latitude errors • mainly diurnal, semiannual & decadal variations; largest near equator • more by S. Kedar, G. Hajj, M. Heflin, & B. Wilson this session



# **IGS Combination Procedures**

- Step 0. AC weekly solutions for TRF, ERPs, orbits, clocks, tropos
  - must be internally self-consistent & unconstrained • reference frame free (or minimally constrained) • sampling: 1 week/TRF; 1 d/ERPs; 15 min/orbits; 5 min/clocks; 2 hr/ZPD • provide full variance-covariance for TRF + ERPs in SINEX format
- Step 1. SINEX files combined for weekly frames & daily ERPs
  - inputs deconstrained, checked, reweighted & Helmert aligned to IGS00 • apparent geocenter offsets removed; scales changed to IGS00 (0 to +2 ppb) • IGS combined TRF formed from inputs by weighted least-squares • weekly terrestrial frame has IGS00 origin & scale
- Step 2. Orbits & clocks combined
  - AC rotational offsets from SINEX combination applied to orbits
  - no translational or scale offsets applied
  - AC weighting independent of SINEX combination
  - orbits & clocks consistent with original center-of-mass frame (not weekly TRF)





# **IGS Combination (cont'd)**

## • Step 3. Tropo & iono combinations

• ionospheric maps not sensitive to IGS frame (except via clock & satellite biases) • tropo ZPDs should account for shifts in station position & scale

• effect of station position differences very minor

• effect of scale difference may not be negligible

## • Separate processing: Rapid & Ultra-rapid products

• ACs use IGS00 reference stations fixed

- orbits, ERPs, clocks & ZPD, but no TRF solution
- product frames are nominally IGS00
- but orbit dynamics still respond to center-of-mass, so actual frame ambiguous

## • Planned changes – "absolute" antenna patterns for satellites & stations

- by design, will enforce IGS00 scale on all IGS products
- could eliminate current scale inconsistencies among products
- but GPS solution for satellite antennas must match mean scale of AC solutions

## **Scale Differences among IGS AC Frames**



Scale Difference Between the solution and the RF



# **Nominal Reference Frames of IGS Products**

Product set	Origin	Scale	
Finals:			
terrestrial	IGS00	VLBI/SLR via	
frame (SINEX)	(shifted)	ITRF2000 & IGS(	
orbits	center-of-mass <sup>a</sup>	GPS (AC average)	
clocks	center-of-mass <sup>a</sup>	GPS (AC average)	
troposphere	ambiguous (insignificant)	GPS (AC average)	
Rapids & Ultra-rapids: all	IGS00 <sup>c</sup>	VLBI/SLR via	
		ITRF2000 & IGS(	

- <sup>*a*</sup> differs by weekly geocenter offset from IGS00
- b all scales should shift to IGS00 when "absolute" antenna phase patterns are adopted
- <sup>c</sup> Rapid/Ultra-rapid frames respond partially to orbital dynamics & center-of-mass origin

- 00
- )<sup>b</sup>
- )<sup>b</sup>
- )<sup>b</sup>

00<sup>c</sup>

pted s origin

# **Summary of IGS Product Inconsistencies**

Usage	Inconsistency	Rem
PPP – fixed IGS Final orbits & clocks	origin offset from weekly SINEX frame;	apply weekly I offsets (approx
	scale different from weekly SINEX frame <sup>a</sup>	none currentl
double-differenced global network – fixed IGS Final orbits	origin offset from weekly SINEX frame	apply weekly I offsets (appro
long-term global network – fixed IGS Final orbits	sp3 files aligned to different IGS frames	transform with adjust rot/tr
tropospheric path delays	origin & scale <sup>a</sup> not precisely defined	none currentl (origin not s

scales inconsistencies should vanish when "absolute" antenna phase patterns are adopted a



## edy

[GS geocenter] ximate only);

ly available<sup>a</sup>

[GS geocenter] oximate only)

h trnfsp3n & ans offsets

ly available<sup>a</sup> significant)

# **Improvements in Future ITRF Realizations**

- Ongoing improvements in all contributing techniques
  - longer observing histories • technique & modeling enhancements
- Time series combination of TRF + EOPs

• allows temporal variations in station positions to be handled better • yields EOP time series consistent with ITRF can identify outliers & other problems

- New geophysical models being developed for no-net-rotation condition • more global coverage of Earth's surface & use of space geodetic results • more sophisticated approaches using finite element modeling, etc • should give improved rotational stability
- Colocation & local tie problems remain

• need more colocation sites & better distribution • errors in local tie remain a major limitation



# **Improved IGS Reference Frame Realizations**

## • Develop long-range, proactive strategy

• long-term stability requires long view • must take active posture to promote & achieve optimal frame • should not accept only what is currently available

- Designate "official" reference frame stations
  - drop meaningless "global" station label
  - develop mutually acceptable operating standards
  - solicit commitments for long-term operation from stations
  - strictly enforce specifications
  - try to improve global coverage of network

## • Develop quality assessment & monitoring system

• problems at reference stations must be quickly identified & fixed

- Improve user interfaces
  - delivery of reference frame to users needs to be greatly simplified automated, certified PPP service recommended



## **Summary of Recommendations**

- **1. Develop reinforced, long-range IGS reference frame strategy**
- **2. Verify IGS PPP product consistency**
- **3. Provide IGS PPP service to users**
- 4. Verify IGS scale consistency using absolute antenna patterns
- **5. IERS should adopt IGS approach for geocenter motions**
- **6.** Interpretation for conventional station displacement models
- 7. Ensure consistency of IGS troposphere products
- 8. ACs implement consistent subdaily analysis models
- 9. ACs implement linear mean pole for pole tide
- 10. ACs do not rely on uncorrected IAU1980 nutation model
- **11. Consider adding 2nd order ionosphere correction**

